



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/992,721	. 11/14/2001	Laurent P. Daynes	004-5639	5890
22120 75	11/21/2003		EXAMI	NER
ZAGORIN O'BRIEN & GRAHAM, L.L.P.			LE, UYEN T	
SUITE 350	00B N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY. TTE 350		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78731			2171	2
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

84

		\mathcal{A}				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/992,721	DAYNES, LAURENT P.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Uyen T Le	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ★ This a	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-26 and 29-31</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>9-26 and 29-31</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,27 and 32</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8,27 and 32</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>28</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the \square	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provided in the first sentence of the reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification or evisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on Nod in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)	2// 2					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.3	5) Notice of Informal Page 1	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/992,721

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because the information regarding related application has not been updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed lock manager broadly interpreted is software alone, not associated with any computer readable medium capable of realizing the software functionality in a computer system.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 11, 23 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - claim 11 should depend from claim 10 instead of claim 2
 - claim 23, first line ":" should be replaced by a comma.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,721

Art Unit: 2171

4. Claims 1-8, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention because it is not clear what applicant intends to mean by "a value of a lock" at line 1 of claim 1 and by "plural locks having identical lock values" at line 1 of claim 32. Therefore, the limitations can not be ascertained.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 9-26, 29-31 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record does not disclose or make obvious scanning an encoding of shared lock states and implementing bulk delegation of locks in the manner recited in claims 9, 22, 29.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Anand et al (US 6,044,466) teaches flexible and dynamic derivation of permissions.

Thorsen (US 6,052,688) teaches control of access to atomic data items.

Smith et al (US 2002/0152086) teach controlling the life cycle of an electronic contract.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,721

Art Unit: 2171

Lomet et al (US5,485,607) teach concurrency control in database management system

utilizing key-valued locking.

Rothschild et al (US 2002/0103804) teach sharing live data with a non cooperative

DBMS.

Breitbart et al (US 5,999,931) teach concurrently control protocols for management of

replicated data items in a distributed database system.

Chen et al (US 2002/0178395) teach multi-agent cooperative transaction method and

system.

Brown (US 2003/0014598) teaches software RAID method and apparatus including

server usage based write delegation.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Uyen T Le whose telephone number is 703-305-4134.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-5:30.

Mlo

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

Uyen Le

Primary Examiner

AU 2171

16 November 2003

Page 4